Home I Answer

Search Quora

Add Question

Why does Trump plan to give citizenship to illegal immigrants over legal immigrants?

& https://www.yahoo.com/news/trump-support-citizenship-path-1-8-million-dreamer-...



Alexander J. Segal, Esq., I follow politics regularly. Answered Jan 31

A Notifications

Actually, I am very sympathetic to the premise of your question. With that said, there are several reasons for that.

- 1. He is not trying to give legal status to illegal immigrants. He is trying to give status to young adults who had been brought here by their parents when the now young adults were of tender age and made no decision of their own to come to the USA. Hence they violated no law and at the same time, the USA is the only country they know and call their own. After all, they were raised here since childhood.
- 2. Since over 60% of the American public support some form of legalization to these individuals, it appears impractical to ever deport them unless they had committed a crime and became deportable in criminal grounds.
- 3. Because they are not deportable in all practicality it is unlikely that any President would have the political will to deport these young adults who had been raised in the USAkeeping them here with no immigration status and no normalcy would, in my opinion, tantamount to slavery.
- 4. Why? Because after DACA lapses, they cannot work no work authorization; they cannot study, as without work they would have no money to pay for education; they are not eligible for federal student aid either so this is out of the question. The list can be long. The most important problem is that they can be placed in deportation process at any moment upon ICE's whim and without any way of protecting themselves.
- 5. Many of them cannot take any regular immigration avenues because they are not in a valid nonimmigrant status to seek adjustment of status. Many would not fall into a narrow exception to this rule carved out by the statute to immediate relatives of US citizens - spouses, children and parents of US citizens. It is so because many of DREMERs were never admitted into the USA - their parents brought them illegally via the border.
- 6. A typical Conservative argument that "no one should be awarded for having violated US immigration laws" should not apply here either since these young adults did not come here on their own volition or asked their parents to smuggle them into the USA. Thus, they violated no law and deserve no punishment.

Why does the Democrat Argument that a "clean" DREAMER law should be enacted fails?

"Clean" DREMER act means that a law legalizing these young adults should not be attached to any other precondition and should be enacted without another other issues attached.

Here is why, in my opinion, such action would not be wise:

- 1. First, it would enshrine continuation of the incentive for people to continue violating our borders.
- 2. It would insure we are back to square one with millions of illegal immigrants in the USA in a few years. A situation, which will never end unless we make it very difficult for people to violate our borders or overstay their welcome when they come to the USA as visitors or other nonimmigrants.

3. It would not close the loophole of DV lottery, which allows immigrant visas to

Upvotes 4

kin be wh mo bec unl	ere are many other considerations to support the contenti d of a bipartisan compromise and not a stand-alone DREA enacted. Previous President had two years in the beginnir en his party controlled both houses and the presidency ar ve on "clean" DREAM Act when he could. I think for the n cause such move requires some bipartisan approach, whic likely in the political climate of the last twenty years. A co uld at least try to resolve this problem to some extent.	AM Act should ng of his term nd he did not nost part, it is ch is highly
٩	Add a comment	Recommended All
	View 5 Other Answers to this Question >	
Work Stud Lives 816. 65.5	he Author Llexander J. Segal, Esq. The Law Offices of Grinberg & Segal PLLC, immigration lawyers in M ked at The Law Offices of Grinberg and Segal, P.L.L.C. ied at CUNY School of Law is in New York City I k answer views k this month ers 289	ſanhattan, NY
More A	nswers from Alexander J. Segal, Esq.	View More >
for thos	ould be the impact of this news that DHS is thinking of not g e whose green cards are pending? They are thinking of auto lers after their 6 years? /s	0
Is DHS 35.2k Vie	planning to stop the H-1B extension beyond six years using ws	an I-140?
Will the a green	ever change the law so that it will be impossible for H-1B vis card?	sa holders to apply for

14.9k Views

Why should I or shouldn't I vote for Donald Trump for President in the Republican primary or US general elections in 2016? 2.3k Views

2.010 010000

Why do Mexicans come to the USA Illegally? 1.5k Views